Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
Lingo Telecom, LLC)	Complaint No. 6124736
)	
Complaint Regarding)	
Unauthorized Change of)	
Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier)	

ORDER

Adopted: May 9, 2023 Released: May 9, 2023

By the Acting Chief, Consumer Policy Division, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau:

- 1. In this Order, we consider a complaint alleging that Lingo Telecom, LLC (Lingo) changed Complainant's telecommunications service provider without obtaining authorization and verification from Complainant as required by the Commission's rules. We conclude that Lingo has responded to Complainant's complaint and has taken action to resolve the complaint.
- 2. Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act), prohibits the practice of "slamming," the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber's selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service.² The Commission's implementing rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur.³ Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a format that satisfies our rules; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an appropriately qualified independent third party to verify the order.⁴ The Commission has also adopted rules to limit the liability of subscribers when an unauthorized carrier change occurs, and to require carriers involved in slamming practices to compensate subscribers whose carriers were changed without authorization.⁵
- 3. We received Complainant's complaint alleging that Lingo changed Complainant's telecommunications service provider without Complainant's authorization.⁶ Complainant states that

³ See 47 CFR § 64.1120.

⁴ See id. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. *Id.* § 64.1130.

¹ See Informal Complaint No. 6124736 (filed Mar. 27, 2023); see also 47 CFR §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

² 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁵ These rules require the unauthorized carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. If the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier for service provided during the first 30 days after the unauthorized change. *See id.* §§ 64.1140, 64.1160. Any charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change. *Id.* Where the subscriber has paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the Commission's rules require that the unauthorized carrier pay 150 percent of those charges to the authorized carrier, and the authorized carrier shall refund or credit to the subscriber 50 percent of all charges paid by the subscriber to the unauthorized carrier. *See id.* §§ 64.1140, 64.1170.

⁶ See Informal Complaint No. 6124736.

when Lingo changed their business's carrier from Verizon to Lingo, the telephone and internet services for the business were "completely cut off." Complainant further states that Lingo put a "lock on the numbers," preventing the business from porting its service to a different provider "until the hold [was] removed."

- 4. Pursuant to our rules, we notified Lingo of the complaint.⁸ Lingo responded and provided an electronic authorization form, which it said was signed by Complainant for a three-year contract term with Lingo.⁹ Complainant reviewed the document and told the Division that the business owner did not sign the document Lingo submitted as proof of authorization.¹⁰ Specifically, the owner of the business stated that he does not sign his name in the way it appeared on Lingo's document.¹¹ In addition, after receiving the complaint filed with the Commission, Lingo cancelled Complainant's account and issued a credit bringing Complainant's account balance to zero.¹²
- 5. Based on the information provided by Lingo and Complainant, it appears that Lingo has fully absolved Complainant of all charges assessed by Lingo in a manner consistent with the Commission's liability rules.¹³ We therefore find that the complaint referenced herein has been resolved.¹⁴ Given the allegation that the signed contract was fabricated, we will also forward a copy of the record of this proceeding to our Enforcement Bureau to determine what additional actions may be necessary.
- 6. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and sections 0.141, 0.361, and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the complaint filed against Lingo Telecom, LLC IS RESOLVED.
 - 7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Kristi Thornton
Acting Chief
Consumer Policy Division
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau

⁷ *Id*.

⁸ 47 CFR § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to section 258 of the Act); *id.* § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

⁹ See Lingo Response to Informal Complaint No. 6124736 (filed Apr. 28, 2023) (Lingo Response).

¹⁰ See E-mail from Complainant to Consumer Policy Division, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau (May 5, 2023).

¹¹ *Id*.

¹² Lingo Response at 2.

¹³ See id.; see also 47 CFR § 64.1160.

¹⁴ If Complainant is unsatisfied with the resolution of its complaint, Complainant may file a formal complaint with the Commission pursuant to section 1.721 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR § 1.721. Such filing will be deemed to relate back to the filing date of Complainant's informal complaint so long as the formal complaint is filed within 45 days from the date this order is mailed or delivered electronically to Complainant. *See id.* § 1.719.